

Table B
Approval and Implementation Dates of Major AFDC Waivers Policies, 1992 – 1996

State	Termination/reduction time limit		Changes in JOBS work exemptions		JOBS sanctions	
	Approved	Implemented	Approved	Implemented	Approved	Implemented
Alabama						
Alaska						
Arizona	5-22-95	11-1-95		— ¹	5-22-95	11-1-95
Arkansas						
California						
Colorado						
Connecticut	12-18-95	1-1-96	8-29-94	1-1-96	8-29-94	1-1-96
Delaware	5-8-95	— ²	5-8-95	— ²	5-8-95	— ²
Dist. of Columbia						
Florida		— ³	6-26-96			
Georgia					11-1-93	1-1-94
Hawaii	8-16-96	2-1-97	6-24-94	2-1-97		
Idaho			8-19-96		8-19-96	
Illinois	— ⁴	2-1-96	9-30-95		9-30-95	10-1-95
Indiana	12-15-94	— ⁵	12-15-94	5-1-95	12-15-94	5-1-95
Iowa	8-13-93	10-1-93	8-13-93	10-1-93	8-13-93	10-1-93
Kansas						
Kentucky						
Louisiana						
Maine			6-10-96			
Maryland			8-16-96	10-1-96	8-16-96	10-1-96
Massachusetts			8-4-95	11-1-95	8-4-95	11-1-95
Michigan			10-6-94	10-6-94	10-6-94	10-6-94
Minnesota						
Mississippi						
Missouri					4-18-95	6-1-95
Montana			4-18-95	— ⁶	4-18-95	— ⁶
Nebraska	2-27-95	— ⁶	2-27-95	— ⁷	2-27-95	— ⁷
Nevada						
New Hampshire			6-18-96		6-18-96	
New Jersey			7-1-92	10-1-92	7-1-92	10-1-92
New Mexico						
New York						
North Carolina	2-5-96	7-1-96	2-5-96	7-1-96	2-5-96	7-1-96
North Dakota						— ⁷
Ohio	3-13-96				3-13-96	7-1-96
Oklahoma						
Oregon	3-28-96	7-1-96	7-15-92	2-1-93	3-28-96	7-1-96 ⁸
Pennsylvania						
Rhode Island						
South Carolina	5-3-96		5-3-96		5-3-96	
South Dakota					3-14-94	6-1-94
Tennessee	7-25-96	— ⁹	7-25-96	9-1-96	7-25-96	9-1-96
Texas	3-22-96	— ¹⁰	3-22-96	6-1-96	3-22-96	6-1-96
Utah			10-5-92	1-1-93 ¹¹	10-5-92	7-1-96 ¹²
Vermont			4-12-93	7-1-94	4-12-93	7-1-94
Virginia	7-1-95	— ¹²	7-1-95	— ¹³	7-1-95	— ¹³
Washington	9-29-95	1-1-96			— ¹³	
West Virginia					7-31-95	2-1-96
Wisconsin			8-14-95	1-1-96	8-14-95	1-1-96
Wyoming						

Note: Implementation dates are arbitrarily stated as of the first of the month absent specific information to the contrary.

Source: Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, *Setting the Baseline: A Report on State Welfare Waivers* and other unpublished documents.

Table B (continued)
Approval and Implementation Dates of Major AFDC Waivers Policies, 1992 – 1996

State	Increased earnings disregard		Family Cap		Work requirement time limit	
	Approved	Implemented	Approved	Implemented	Approved	Implemented
Alabama						
Alaska						
Arizona			5-22-95	11-1-95		
Arkansas			4-5-94	7-1-94		
California	10-29-92	12-1-92	8-19-96 ¹⁴	9-1-97	9-11-95	9-11-95
Colorado		— ¹⁵				
Connecticut	8-29-94	1-1-96	12-18-95	1-1-96		
Delaware	5-8-95	10-1-95	5-8-95	— ²	5-8-95	10-1-95
Dist. of Columbia						
Florida		— ³	6-26-96			
Georgia	6-24-94		11-1-93	1-1-94		
Hawaii	8-16-96	2-1-97				
Idaho						
Illinois	11-23-93	11-23-93	9-30-95	12-1-95		
Indiana			12-15-94	5-1-95		
Iowa	8-13-93	10-1-93				
Kansas						
Kentucky						
Louisiana						
Maine						
Maryland	8-16-96	10-1-96	8-14-95	3-1-96		
Massachusetts	8-4-95	11-1-95	8-4-95	11-1-95	8-4-95	11-1-95
Michigan	8-1-92	10-1-92			8-1-92	
Minnesota		— ¹⁶				
Mississippi		— ¹⁷	9-1-95	10-1-95		
Missouri		— ¹⁸			4-18-95	
Montana	4-18-95	— ⁶			4-18-95	2-1-96
Nebraska	2-27-95		2-27-95	11-1-96 ⁷		
Nevada						
New Hampshire	6-18-96				6-18-96	
New Jersey	7-1-92		7-1-92	10-1-92		
New Mexico						
New York						
North Carolina			2-5-96	7-1-96		
North Dakota		— ⁸				
Ohio	3-13-96	7-1-96				
Oklahoma						
Oregon						
Pennsylvania						
Rhode Island						
South Carolina			5-3-96			
South Dakota					3-14-94	6-1-94
Tennessee	7-25-96	9-1-96	7-25-96	— ¹⁰		
Texas						
Utah	10-5-92	— ¹²				
Vermont	4-12-93	7-1-94			4-12-93	7-1-94
Virginia	7-1-95	— ¹³	7-1-95	7-1-95	7-1-95	7-1-95
Washington						
West Virginia						
Wisconsin		— ¹⁹	6-24-94	1-1-96	9-30-96	9-30-96
Wyoming						

Note: Implementation dates are arbitrarily stated as of the first of the month absent specific information to the contrary.

Source: Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, *Setting the Baseline: A Report on State Welfare Waivers* and other unpublished documents.

Table B (Endnotes)
Approval and Implementation Dates of Major AFDC Waivers Policies, 1992 – 1996

1. Arizona begin to phase in its JOBS exemptions change beginning November 1995.
2. Delaware began implementation of its termination time limit, JOBS exemptions, JOBS sanctions, family cap policies with a small number of cases in October 1995; these became universal in March 1997.
3. Florida began to implement its “Family Transition Project” in two counties in February 1994; non-exempt applicants or recipients limited to 36 months of benefits in a 72 month period or 24 months of benefits in a 60 month period depending on education and work history. The state began an Increased Earnings Disregard policy of \$200 plus one-half the remainder in eight counties beginning in February 1994.
4. Illinois’ termination time limit policy, approved September 30, 1995, was not included in the set of waivers presented in the original 1997 CEA analysis due to its limited scope. The time limit applies only to cases where the youngest child is at least 13 years of age and only those months without any earnings counted towards the time limit.
5. Indiana began implementation of its 24-month time limit policy for “job-ready” non-exempt cases in May 1995; beginning June 1997 the 24-month time limit was expanded to all non-exempt cases.
6. Nebraska began to implement its “Welfare Reform Demonstration Project” in eight counties in October 1995 which included a time limit of 24 months of benefits in a 48 month period, a full-family sanction, and reduced JOBS exemptions. Nebraska began to implement its family cap in five counties in October 1995 and expanded it statewide within a year.
7. North Dakota’ work sanction policy was initially implemented in 11 counties beginning in July 1996. The state began an Increased Earnings Disregard policy in ten counties beginning in October 1996.
8. Oregon’s JOBS sanctions policy was approved July 15, 1992 for statewide application (except for 8 local areas); the state began implementation in February 1993 in part of the state. Under a later waiver, a revised policy was implemented statewide in July 1995.
9. Tennessee’s time limit was phased in over a six month period beginning October 1996. The state’s family cap was phased in over a six month period beginning September 1996.
10. Texas’ 12, 24, or 36 month time limit began in one county in June 1996 and was expanded to the entire state by September 1997. The federal 60 month time limit was imposed beginning November 1996.
11. Utah’s JOBS work exemptions policy for adults caring for a young child began in seven counties in January 1993. Included was the implementation of a \$100 benefit reduction sanction. In November 1995, this was replaced by a full-family sanction, which was expanded statewide by July 1996. The state also implemented an Increased Earnings Disregard policy of \$100 and 45 percent in seven counties in January 1993 and later expanded statewide.
12. Virginia’s time limit, JOBS exemptions change, JOBS sanctions, and Increased Earnings Disregards policies (100 percent up to the federal poverty guideline income level, current recipients only) began in five counties in July 1995 and were expanded to the entire state by October 1997.
13. In the set of waivers listed in the original 1997 CEA analysis, the state of Washington was listed as having had a JOBS sanctions waiver approved September 29, 1995. Subsequent review of the nature of this waiver resulted in its being classified as a termination time limit waiver.
14. California had a waiver approved July 1992 that would have limited AFDC payments based on the size of the family at the time they began receiving public assistance but this waiver was not implemented.
15. Colorado began an Increased Earnings Disregard policy in five counties beginning in June 1994.

Table B (Endnotes)
Approval and Implementation Dates of Major AFDC Waivers Policies, 1992 – 1996

16. Minnesota began to implement its “Minnesota Family Investment Program” in seven counties in April 1994; this program included consolidating AFDC and Food Stamp payments and increasing the earned income disregard to 38 percent.
17. Mississippi began an Increased Earnings Disregard policy in two counties beginning in October 1995.
18. Missouri received approval January 15, 1993 for and began implementing the \$30 plus one-third income disregard for up to 48 months in Kansas City beginning in July 1994.
19. Wisconsin’s Increased Earnings Disregard policy of the first \$200 plus one-half the remainder for new applicants under age 20, approved April 10, 1992 , began to be implemented in July 1994. Beginning in January 1995 in two counties, Wisconsin implemented an Increased Earnings Disregard policy for all those under its “Work Not Welfare.”