

Table A-8. Comparison of Federal Funding for AFDC and Related Programs and 1998 Family Assistance Grants Awarded Under PRWORA

[In millions]

State	FY 1996 Grants for AFDC, EA & JOBS ¹	FY 1998 State Family Assistance Grant ²	Increase from FY 1996 Level	Percent Increase from FY 1996 Level
Alabama	\$75.9	\$96.0	\$20.1	26
Alaska	58.7	65.3	6.6	11
Arizona	197.8	226.4	28.6	14
Arkansas	51.9	58.2	6.4	12
California	3,622.8	3,732.7	109.9	3
Colorado	158.3	139.3	-19.0	-12
Connecticut	215.3	266.8	51.5	24
Delaware	35.2	32.3	-2.9	-8
Dist of Columbia	70.8	92.6	21.8	31
Florida	497.5	576.9	79.3	16
Georgia	288.4	339.7	51.3	18
Hawaii	97.9	98.9	1.0	1
Idaho	31.3	32.8	1.5	5
Illinois	601.1	585.1	-16.0	-3
Indiana	133.1	206.8	73.7	55
Iowa	128.9	131.5	2.7	2
Kansas	89.8	101.9	12.2	14
Kentucky	157.2	181.3	24.0	15
Louisiana	114.3	168.1	53.8	47
Maine	74.8	78.1	3.3	4
Maryland	214.3	229.1	14.8	7
Massachusetts	353.1	459.4	106.3	30
Michigan	632.2	775.4	143.1	23
Minnesota	220.8	268.0	47.1	21
Mississippi	70.3	88.9	18.6	26
Missouri	195.4	217.1	21.7	11
Montana	40.4	46.7	6.3	16
Nebraska	56.0	58.0	2.0	4
Nevada	41.4	44.9	3.5	9
New Hampshire	34.7	38.5	3.8	11
New Jersey	383.2	404.0	20.9	5
New Mexico	132.1	129.3	-2.8	-2
New York	2,160.7	2,442.9	282.3	13
North Carolina	312.6	310.9	-1.7	-1
North Dakota	25.7	26.4	0.7	3
Ohio	543.7	728.0	184.3	34
Oklahoma	118.2	147.8	29.6	25
Oregon	142.0	166.8	24.8	17
Pennsylvania	770.1	719.5	-50.6	-7
Rhode Island	89.5	95.0	5.5	6
South Carolina	94.4	100.0	5.6	6
South Dakota	20.2	21.3	1.1	5
Tennessee	137.4	196.7	59.3	43
Texas	419.0	498.9	79.9	19
Utah	64.7	78.9	14.2	22
Vermont	42.4	47.4	5.0	12
Virginia	121.4	158.3	36.9	30
Washington	415.4	404.3	-11.1	-3
West Virginia	87.7	110.2	22.5	26
Wisconsin	276.4	317.5	41.1	15
Wyoming	15.0	21.5	6.6	44
United States	\$14,931	\$16,562	\$1,631	11

¹ Excludes IV-A child care. AFDC benefits include the Federal share of child support collections to be comparable to the Family Assistance Grant; 1996 expenditures as reported through February 25, 1997.

² The awards include State Family Assistance Grants (SFAG) and Supplemental Grants for Population Increases. AZ, CA, OK, OR, SD WI, and WY cumulative totals have been adjusted for Tribes operating TANF within the State.

Source: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Legislative Affairs and Budget.