

From: Edward Archer [<mailto:archer.edwardc@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2017 5:05 PM
To: Web Info Quality (CDC) <infoquality@cdc.gov>
Subject: Re: Information Quality Appeal; Vol. 66, No. 6, Page 181

Dear Dr. Cono,

Thank you for the reply.

Attached are recent publications in The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition (AJCN) that are relevant to my appeal. The first titled, "The NHANES dietary data are physiologically implausible and inadmissible as scientific evidence" addresses the same issues (e.g., inadmissibility) I raised in my original complaint, and were not addressed in the CDC's response dated July 24, 2017. It is now available on PubMed via the link below:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28864581>

The second, titled, "The use of implausible data without caveats is misleading" is also available on PubMed at the link below:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28864579>

Please include these publication [sic] as background information for my appeal dated August 21, 2017. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Edward Archer, PhD.MS.

NOTE from Information Quality Officer at HHS: The referenced materials are not included in this posting because they are copyrighted. Here are the citations to the information linked above:

Archer, E. *The NHANES dietary data are physiologically implausible and inadmissible as scientific evidence*, 106 AM. J. CLIN. NUTR. 951-52 (Sept 2017) (letter to the editor).

Archer, E. *The use of implausible data without caveats is misleading*, 106 AM. J. CLIN. NUTR. 949-50 (Sept 2017) (letter to the editor).