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1. Dementia Methods Pre-Summit;
2. PCORI Methodology Committee Standards for Studies of Complex Interventions

Pre-Summit: Five areas of recommendations

- Scientific
- Research infrastructure
- Regulatory
- Administrative
- Financial

Methods standards: public release of draft standards expected by October 31

Characteristics of complex interventions

**Simple interventions:**
- Single fixed (stable, homogeneous) targeting
- A single (relatively) stable process to achieve
- A distinct goal within
- Stable, (relatively) homogeneous settings
- Relative simplicity, homogeneity, stability

**Complex interventions:**
- Multiple varying activities, components deployed at
- Multiple levels targeting
- Multiple structures and processes to achieve
- Multiple (conflicting) goals within
- Dynamic, heterogeneous settings
- Extreme complexity, heterogeneity, instability
Challenges in studying complex interventions

Heterogeneity
- individuals, families, institutions, systems, communities
- settings, context
- underlying pathologies addressed by intervention
- pathologies’ etiology, root causes
- intervention (program) design, content

Instability, variability, adaptability
- intervention targets (individuals, families, institutions, systems, communities)
- settings, context
- intervention (program) design, content
Challenges in studying complex interventions

Causal complexity
- multiple components; multi-step causal chains (proximal, distal impacts)
- strength of contextual vs. “intervention” main effects

Policy/practice decision makers’ questions

Does it work? Is it “effective”?
- Should it be approved?
  Funded? Promoted? Mandated?
- Included in the formulary?
- Should I use it?
**Policy/practice decision makers’ questions**

***Does it work? Is it “effective”?***

- For some drugs, the answer is “Yes, for many/most patients” (but cf precision medicine)
- For robust complex interventions (e.g., some health promotion programs, healthcare delivery innovations) the answer is “Yes, often enough”
- For most complex interventions, the answer is “sometimes”…“it depends”

---

**Support for policy/practice leaders: evidence vs. insights and guidance**

- Support for dichotomous approval or selection decisions (FDA and formulary, treatment):
  - *Is it effective? Does it work? Which is more effective?*
- Insights and guidance for practice:
  - *How can we enhance its effectiveness?*
Policy/practice decision makers’ questions

- How do I choose an appropriate program given my context?
- How do I implement (deploy) the program to increase effectiveness?
- How do I adapt and customize the program to increase effectiveness (initially and over time)?
- How do I modify/manage the organization or setting to increase effectiveness (initially and over time)?
- How, why, when and where does it work?
- How can I make it work?

Support for policy/practice leaders: evidence vs. insights and guidance

- Support for dichotomous decisions, selection decisions (FDA and formulary, treatment):
  - Is it effective? Does it work? Which is more effective?
- Insights and guidance for practice:
  - How can we enhance its effectiveness?
- Impact focus vs. process focus (mediators, moderators, mechanisms, adaptation, managing context)
Studying complex interventions: mediators, moderators, mechanisms

- Mediation analysis, structural equation modeling, other approaches to study mediators, moderators, mechanisms
- Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA)
- Process evaluation
- Theory-based evaluation, realistic/realist evaluation
- Approaches to adaptation

Other research approaches

- Observational, quasi-experimental designs (time series, stepped-wedge design)
- Statistical process control
- Simulation modeling, systems dynamics models
- Complexity theory, complex systems