Food Stamp Program

The Food Stamp Program, administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service, is the largest food assistance program in the country, reaching more poor individuals over the course of a year than any other public assistance program. Unlike many other public assistance programs, the Food Stamp Program has few categorical requirements for eligibility, such as the presence of children, elderly or disabled individuals in a household. As a result, the program offers assistance to a large and diverse population of needy persons, many of whom are not eligible for other forms of assistance.

The Food Stamp Program was designed primarily to increase the food purchasing power of eligible low-income households to the point where they can buy a nutritionally adequate low-cost diet. Participating households are expected to be able to devote 30 percent of their counted monthly cash income (after adjusting for various deductions) to food purchases. Food stamp benefits then make up the difference between the household's expected contribution to its food costs and an amount judged to be sufficient to buy an adequate low-cost diet. This amount, the maximum food stamp benefit level, is derived from USDA's lowest-cost food plan, the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP).

The Federal government is responsible for virtually all of the rules that govern the program, and, with limited variations, these rules are nationally uniform, as are the benefit levels. Nonetheless, States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands, through their local welfare offices, have primary responsibility for the day-to-day administration of the program. They determine eligibility, calculate benefits, and issue food stamp allotments. The Food Stamp Act provides 100 percent federal funding of food stamp benefits. States and other jurisdictions have responsibility for about half the cost of state and local food stamp agency administration.

In addition to the regular Food Stamp Program, the Food Stamp Act authorizes alternative programs in Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa. The largest of these, the Nutrition Assistance Program in Puerto Rico, had an average of 1.1 million participants in 2000, funded under a federal block grant of \$1.27 billion. Unless noted otherwise, the food stamp caseload and expenditure data in this Appendix include costs for the Nutrition Assistance Program in Puerto Rico. Prior to 1982, the regular Food Stamp Program operated in Puerto Rico, under modified eligibility and benefit rules.

The Food Stamp Program has financial, employment/training-related and "categorical" tests for eligibility. The basic food stamp beneficiary unit is the "household." Generally, individuals living together constitute a single food stamp household if they customarily purchase food and prepare meals together. Members of the same household must apply together, and their income, expenses, and assets normally are aggregated in determining food stamp eligibility and benefits. Except for households composed entirely of TANF, SSI, or general assistance recipients (who generally are automatically eligible for food stamps), monthly cash income is the primary food stamp eligibility determinant. Unless exempt, adult applicants for food stamps must register for work, typically with the welfare agency or a state employment service office. To maintain eligibility, they must accept a suitable job if offered one and fulfill any work, job search, or training requirements established by the administering welfare agencies.

Food stamp benefits are a function of a household's size, its net monthly income, its assets, and maximum monthly benefit levels. Allotments are not taxable and food stamp purchases may not be charged sales taxes. Receipt of food stamps does not affect eligibility for or benefits provided by other welfare programs, although some programs use food stamp participation as a "trigger" for eligibility and others take into account the general availability of food stamps in deciding what level of benefits to provide.

Recent Legislative and Regulatory Changes.

Title IV and Subtitle A of title VIII of the PRWORA contain major and extensive revisions to the Food Stamp Program, including strong work requirements on able-bodied adults without dependent children, restricted benefits for legal immigrants, and a reduction in maximum benefits. These three provisions, and subsequent amendments, are discussed below; their impact on program participation and expenditures begins to appear in food stamp administrative data for 1997, with the fuller impact shown in data for 1998 and beyond.

First, a new work requirement was added for able-bodied adult food stamp recipients without dependents (ABAWDs). Unless exempt, ABAWDs between the ages of 18 and 50 are not eligible for benefits for more than 3 months in every 36-month period unless they are (1) working at least 20 hours a week; (2) participating in and complying with a work program for at least 20 hours a week; or (3) participating in and complying with a workfare program. Under the original legislation, the Department of Agriculture was authorized to waive application of the work requirement to any group of individuals at the request of the state agency, if a determination is made that the area where they reside has an unemployment rate over 10 percent or does not have a sufficient number of jobs to provide them employment. The provision was further moderated under the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33), which allowed states to exempt up to 15 percent of the ABAWD caseload (beyond those subject to waivers) and which increased funds for the food stamp employment and training program for the creation of job slots for able-bodied adults subject to time limits.

Separately, title IV of PRWORA made significant changes in the eligibility of noncitizens for food stamp benefits. As first enacted, most qualified aliens, including legal immigrants (illegal aliens were already ineligible) were barred from receiving food stamps until citizenship. Subsequently, the Agriculture Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-185) restored food stamp eligibility to certain groups of qualified aliens who were legally residing in the United States before passage of PRWORA on August 22, 1996. Specifically, the ban on food stamp eligibility was lifted for children, the disabled and people who were 65 on August 22, 1996.

Finally, the 1996 legislation restrained growth in future program expenditures by making changes in the benefit structure for eligible participants, including a reduction in the maximum food stamp allotment. Other provisions of the 1996 act disqualified from eligibility those convicted of drug-related felonies and gave states the option to disqualify individuals, both custodial and noncustodial parents, from food stamps when they do not cooperate with child support agencies or are in arrears in their child support.

Recent regulatory and legislative changes have been made to increase access to food stamps among working poor families. Regulatory changes announced in July 1999 and expanded in November 2000 allow states to reduce reporting requirements and make it easier for working families to report income changes on a semiannual basis. Under the November 2000 regulations, states also have the option of providing a three-month transitional food stamp benefit to most families leaving TANF. In addition, the Agriculture Appropriations Bill for 2001 (P.L. 106-387) provides states with the option of liberalizing the treatment of vehicle assets to align with the states' TANF rules on vehicle eligibility. These changes were intended to address concerns that some of the decline in food stamp caseloads may be leaving poor families without nutritional assistance as they make the transition from welfare dependence to full self-sufficiency.

Food Stamp Program Data.

The following six tables and accompanying figure provide information about the Food Stamp Program, including information about the Nutrition Assistance Program in Puerto Rico:

- Tables FSP 1-2 and Figure FSP 1 present national caseload and expenditure trend data on the Food Stamp Program, as discussed below;
- Table FSP 3 presents some demographic characteristics of the food stamp caseload; and
- Tables FSP 4-6 present some state-by-state trend data on the Food Stamp Program through fiscal year 2000.

Food Stamp Caseload Trends (Tables FSP 1-2). Average monthly food stamp participation (including participants in Puerto Rico's block grant) has continued to fall from its peak of 28.9 million in an average month in 1994 to an average of 18.3 million persons in 2000. Both in absolute numbers and as a percentage of the population, food stamp recipiency is lower than at any point in the past twenty years. See also Table IND 3b and Table IND 4b in Chapter II for further data on the recent decline in food stamp recipiency and participation rates.

Considerable research has demonstrated that the Food Stamp Program is responsive to economic changes, with participation increasing in times of economic downturns and decreasing in times of economic growth (see Figure FSP 1). Economic conditions alone did not explain the caseload growth in the late 1980s and early 1990s, however. A congressionally mandated study in 1990 concluded that a variety of factors contributed to this caseload growth, including expansions in Medicaid eligibility and changes in immigration laws, particularly the legalization of undocumented aliens, as well as a rise in unemployment (McConnell, 1991). Longer spells of participation also contributed to the caseload increase, according to an analysis of longitudinal data from the Survey on Income and Program Participation (Gleason, 1998).

Economic conditions were a significant factor in explaining the drop in food stamp caseload since 1994, according to an Economic Research Service review of recent research (ERS, 2000). Several econometric models suggest that economic variables explain between 25 and 44 percent

of the decline in caseload. The full effect of the economy may be even higher, to the extent that some of the unexplained variation in the models reflects local economic conditions not captured in state-level economic variables.

Policy changes, most notably the enactment of the Personal Responsibility Act of 1996, have also contributed to the recent decline in food stamp caseload. The most direct impact was the elimination of eligibility for most legal immigrants and for many childless adults aged 18-50. Participation for these two groups fell sharply between 1994 and 1998 (Genser, 1999). In addition, changes in TANF policy may have affected food stamp participation, although these effects are less certain. Many studies of families leaving TANF cash assistance have found that many of these families leave the Food Stamp Program as well, despite appearing eligible for food stamp benefits. Econometric studies of the effects of specific changes in TANF policy, however, have found that only a small share of the decline in state food stamp caseloads was associated with waivers to AFDC policies. Increased stigma about welfare use and unintentional diversion from the Food Stamp Program may be additional factors affecting food stamp participation. Finally, a study of trends in Food Stamp Program participation rates (USDA, 2000) found that the program is reaching a smaller percentage of eligible individuals in 1998 than it did during the three previous years.

Food Stamp Expenditures. Total program costs, shown in Table FSP 2, have declined in recent years, along with the decline in caseloads. In fiscal year 2000, total program costs (including Puerto Rico) were \$18.4 billion, reaching their lowest levels since 1980, after adjusting for inflation. (Average monthly participation in fiscal year 2000 was 18.3 million). Average monthly benefits per person have also declined in recent years after adjusting for inflation. Benefits were \$73 per person in fiscal year 2000, considerably lower than the \$85 per person benefit (in constant dollars) paid in 1992, but higher than the \$70 per person paid in 1987.

Food Stamp Household Characteristics. As shown in Table FSP 3, the proportion of food stamp households with earnings has increased, from about 20 percent for most of the 1980s and early 1990s, to 27 percent in 2000. At the same time, the proportion of households with income from AFDC/TANF has declined, from 42 percent in 1984 to 26 percent in 2000, following the dramatic decline in AFDC/TANF caseloads. Over half of all food stamp households have children, although the proportion has declined somewhat from over 60 percent in most of the 1980s and early 1990s to 54 percent in 2000. The vast majority (89 percent) of households have incomes below the federal poverty guidelines.




Figure FSP 1. Persons Receiving Food Stamps

Note: Shaded areas are periods of recession as defined by the National Bureau of Economic Research. Sources: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, National Data Bank.

	Food S	Stamp Partici	pants ¹	Particip	ants as a Pe	Child Participants As a Percent of:		
Fiscal Year	Including Territories ² (in thousands)	Excluding Territories (in thousands)	Children Excld. Terr. (in thousands)	Total Population ³	All Poor Persons ³	Pre-transfer Poverty Population ⁴	Total Child Population ³	Children in Poverty ³
1962	6,554	6,554	NA	3.5	17.0	NA	NA	NA
1965	5,166	5,166	NA	2.7	15.5	NA	NA	NA
1970	8,277	8,277	NA	4.1	32.6	NA	NA	NA
1971	13,042	13,042	NA	6.3	51.0	NA	NA	NA
1972	14,102	14,102	NA	6.7	57.7	NA	NA	NA
1973	14,641	14,641	NA	6.9	63.7	NA	NA	NA
1974	14,784	14,765	NA	6.9	63.2	NA	NA	NA
1975 ⁵	18,308	17,217	NA	8.0	66.2	NA	NA	NA
1976	18,240	16,733	9,126	7.7	66.7	NA	13.8	88.8
1977	17,014	15,579	NA	7.1	62.7	NA	NA	NA
1978	15,988	14,503	NA	6.5	58.9	NA	NA	NA
1979 ⁶	17,682	15,976	NA	7.1	60.9	57.1	NA	NA
1980	21,082	19,253	9,876	8.5	65.5	60.7	15.5	85.6
1981	22,430	20,654	9,803	9.0	64.6	60.8	15.5	78.4
1982	22,055	20,392	9,591	8.8	59.0	56.3	15.3	70.3
1983	23,195	21,667	10,910	9.3	61.1	58.5	17.4	78.4
1984	22,384	20,796	10,492	8.8	61.7	58.5	16.8	78.2
1985	21,379	19,847	9,906	8.3	60.0	56.6	15.8	76.1
1986	20,909	19,381	9,844	8.1	59.9	56.2	15.7	76.5
1987	20,583	19,072	9,771	7.9	59.2	55.6	15.5	75.4
1988	20,095	18,613	9,351	7.6	58.6	55.2	14.8	75.1
1989	20,266	18,778	9,429	7.6	59.6	55.6	14.9	74.9
1990	21,547	20,038	10,127	8.0	59.7	55.7	15.8	75.4
1991	24,115	22,599	11,952	9.0	63.3	59.3	18.4	83.3
1992	26,886	25,369	13,349	9.9	66.7	64.0	20.2	87.3
1993	28,422	26,952	14,196	10.5	68.6	63.8	21.2	90.3
1994	28,879	27,434	14,391	10.5	72.1	66.8	21.2	94.1
1995	27,989	26,579	13,860	10.1	73.0	67.6	20.2	94.5
1996	26,872	25,494	13,189	9.6	69.8	64.6	19.1	91.2
1997	24,148	22,820	11,847	8.5	64.1	59.9	17.0	83.9
1998	20,970	19,746	10,524	7.3	57.3	53.8	15.1	78.1
1999	19,325	18,146	9,354	6.7	56.3	52.5	13.3	77.2
2000	18,267	17,120	8,765	6.1	55.0	51.7	12.1	75.3

Table FSP 1. Trends in Food Stamp Caseloads, Selected Years 1962 – 2000

¹ Total participants includes all participating states, the District of Columbia, and the territories (including Puerto Rico). The number of child participants includes only the participating states and D.C. (the territories are not included). From 1962 to 1983 the number of participants includes the Family Food Assistance Program (FFAP) which was largely replaced by the Food Stamp Program in 1975. The FFAP participants (as of December) for the seven years shown during the period from 1962 to 1974 were respectively: 6,411; 4,742; 3,977; 3,642; 3,002; 2,441; and 1,406 (all in thousands). From 1975 to 1983 the number of FFAP participants averaged only 88 thousand. The monthly average number of participants for 1970-76 is computed as an average from October of the prior calendar year to September, the span of the fiscal year since 1977. ² Participation figures in column 1 from 1982 on include enrollment in Puerto Rico's Nutrition Assistance Program (averaging 1.1 to 1.5 million

persons a month under the nutrition assistance grant and higher figures in earlier years under Food Stamps, as shown in Table FSP 5).

Includes all participating states and the District of Columbia only -- the territories are excluded from both numerator and denominator. Population numbers used as denominators are the resident population-see Current Population Reports, Series P25-1106. For the persons living in poverty used as denominators, see Current Population Reports, Series P60-210.

⁴ The pretransfer poverty population used as denominator is the number of all persons in families or living alone whose income (cash income plus social insurance plus Social Security but before taxes and means-tested transfers) falls below the appropriate poverty threshold. See Appendix J, Table 20, *1992 Green Book;* data for subsequent years are unpublished Congressional Budget Office tabulations. ⁵ The first fiscal year in which food stamps were available nationwide.

⁶ The fiscal year in which the food stamp purchase requirement was eliminated, on a phased-in basis.

Sources: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, National Data Bank, the 1996 Green Book, and U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Poverty in the United States: 2000," Current Population Reports, Series P60-214 and earlier years.

	Total Fed	eral Cost		Administration ¹			Average Monthly		
	(Benefits + Ad	,	Benefits ²	Federal	State &	Program	Benefit per Perso Current Dollars 2000 Doll		
Fiscal Year	Current Dollars [In millions]	2000 Dollars ³ [In millions]	(Federal) [In millions]	[In millions]	Local [In millions]	Cost [In millions]			
1975	\$5,037	\$15,946	\$4,798	\$238	\$180	\$5,217	\$21.50	\$68.10	
1976	5,641	16,718	5,276	365	275	5,934	23.50	69.60	
1977	5,463	15,072	5,061	402	300	5,775	24.00	66.20	
1978	5,546	14,354	5,112	434	325	5,883	25.70	66.50	
1979 ⁴	6,965	16,577	6,450	515	388	7,388	29.90	71.20	
1980	9,224	19,736	8,721	503	375	9,633	34.20	73.20	
1981	11,308	22,000	10,630	678	504	11,906	39.40	76.70	
1982	11,117	20,209	10,408	709	557	11,697	39.00	70.90	
1983	12,708	22,094	11,930	778	612	13,343	43.00	74.80	
1984	12,446	20,755	11,475	971 ⁵	805	13,251	42.70	71.20	
1985	12,573	20,239	11,530	1,043	871	13,444	45.00	72.40	
1986	12,510	19,641	11,397	1,113	935	13,445	45.50	71.40	
987	12,512	19,102	11,317	1,195	996	13,508	45.80	69.90	
1988	13,281	19,478	11,991	1,290	1,080	14,361	49.80	73.00	
1989	13,904	19,460	12,572	1,332	1,101	15,005	51.80	72.50	
1990	16,503	22,004	15,081	1,422	1,174	17,677	58.90	78.50	
991	19,790	25,118	18,274	1,516	1,247	21,037	63.90	81.10	
992	23,535	28,992	21,879	1,656	1,375	24,910	68.60	84.50	
.993	24,733	29,576	23,017	1,716	1,572	26,305	68.00	81.30	
994	25,587	29,806	23,798	1,789	1,643	27,230	69.00	80.40	
1995	25,776	29,220	23,859	1,917	1,748	27,524	71.30	80.80	
1996	25,527	28,152	23,543	1,984	1,842	27,369	73.20	80.70	
997	22,750	24,430	20,692	2,058	1,904	24,654	71.30	76.60	
998	20,224	21,369	18,055	2,169	1,988	22,212	71.10	75.10	
999	19,045	19,747	16,945	2,100	1,874	22,919	72.20	74.90	
2000	18,411	18,411	16,211	2,200	1,963	20,374	72.80	72.80	

Table FSP 2	Trends in Food	l Stamn Expenditures	s, Selected Years 1975	- 2000
		I Gramp Expendicules	\mathbf{S}_1 UCICULCU I Cal S 131J	- 2000

¹ Amounts include the federal share of state administrative and employment and training costs (including administrative costs of Puerto Rico's block grant) and certain direct federal administrative costs. They do not generally include approximately \$60 million in food-stamp related federal administrative costs budgeted under a separate appropriation account (although estimates prior to 1989 do include estimates of food stamp related federal administrative expenses paid out of other Agriculture Department accounts). State and local costs are estimated based on the known federal shares and represent an estimate of all administrative expenses of participating states (including Puerto Rico).

² Benefit costs include the Food Stamp Program and Puerto Rico's nutritional assistance program and are based on unpublished data from the USDA, Food and Nutrition Service, National Data Bank (see Table FSP 4).

³ Constant dollar adjustments to 2000 level were made using a CPI-U-X1 fiscal year average price index.

⁴ The fiscal year in which the food stamp purchase requirement was eliminated, on a phased-in basis.

⁵ Beginning 1984 USDA took over from DHHS the administrative cost of certifying public assistance households for food stamps.

Note: Total federal cost includes food stamps in Puerto Rico (1975-1981) and funding for Puerto Rico's nutrition assistance grant (1982present). Average benefit figures, however, do not reflect the lower benefits in Puerto Rico under either the Food Stamp Program from 1975 to 1981 or its nutrition assistance program since 1982.

Source: USDA, Food and Nutrition Service unpublished data from the National Data Bank; and the 2000 Green Book.

		[In	percent							
	Year ¹									
-	1980	1984	1988	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998	1999	2000
With Gross Monthly Income:										
Below the Federal Poverty Levels	87	93	92	92	92	90	91	90	89	89
Between the Poverty Levels and 130 Percent of the Poverty Levels	10	6	8	8	8	9	8	9	10	10
Above 130 Percent of Poverty	2	1	*	*	*	1	1	1	1	1
With Earnings	19	19	20	19	21	21	23	26	27	27
With Public Assistance Income ²	65	71	72	73	66	69	67	65	63	63
With AFDC/TANF Income	NA	42	42	43	40	38	37	31	27	26
With SSI Income	18	18	20	19	19	23	24	28	30	32
With Children	60	61	61	61	62	61	60	58	56	54
And Female Heads of Household	NA	47	50	51	51	51	50	47	46	44
With No Spouse Present	NA	NA	39	37	44	43	43	41	40	38
With Elderly Members ³ With Elderly Female Heads of	23	22	19	18	15	16	16	18	20	21
Household ³	NA	16	14	11	9	11	NA	NA	NA	NA
Average Household Size	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3

Table FSP 3. Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, 1980 - 2000

¹ Data were gathered in August in the years 1980-84 and during the summer in the years from 1986 to 1994. Reports from 1995 ² Public assistance income includes AFDC, SSI, and general assistance. ³ Elderly members and heads of household include those of age 60 or older. * Less than 0.5 percent.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition, and Evaluation, Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2000 and earlier years.

			L	is of uonars				
	1975	1980	1985	1990	1995	1998	1999	2000
Alabama	\$108	\$246	\$318	\$328	\$441	\$357	\$346	\$344
Arizona	7 45	27 97	25 121	25 239	50 414	50 253	49 233	$\begin{array}{c} 46\\240\end{array}$
Arkansas	78	122	121	155	212	206	233	240
California	374	530	639	968	2,473	2,020	1,796	1,639
Colorado	48	71	94	156	217	157	145	127
Connecticut	38	59	62	72	169	161	150	138
Delaware	8 32	21 41	22 40	25 43	47 92	34 85	32 82	31 77
Dist. of Columbia Florida	236	41	368	43 609	1,307	85 845	813 813	773
Georgia	144	264	290	382	700	538	514	489
Guam	3	15	18	15	24	34	31	36
Hawaii	26	60 29	93 36	81 40	177 59	178	180	166
Idaho Illinois	12 259	29 394	713	835	1,056	47 844	45 767	46 777
Indiana	64	154	242	226	382	263	255	268
Iowa	29	54	107	109	142	109	103	100
Kansas	13	38	64	96	144	83	80	83
Kentucky	138	211	332	334	413	345	337	337
Louisiana	149	243	365	549	629	467	463	448
Maine Maryland	36 79	$\begin{array}{c} 60\\140\end{array}$	62 171	63 203	112 365	$\frac{100}{282}$	89 237	81 199
Massachusetts	104	171	173	203	315	202	205	182
Michigan	132	263	541	663	806	588	515	457
Minnesota	43	62	105	165	240	181	172	165
Mississippi	115	199	264	352	383	254	232	226
Missouri Montana	85 11	142 18	212 31	312 41	488 57	345 52	348 52	358 51
Nebraska	11	25	44	59	77	68	66	61
Nevada	11	15	22	41	91	63	56	57
New Hampshire	14	22	15	20	44	30	31	28
New Jersey	136	226	260	289	506	384	346	304
New Mexico New York	49	81	88	117	196	144	144	140
North Carolina	233 139	726 234	938 237	$1,086 \\ 282$	2,065 495	$1,505 \\ 421$	1,464 435	1,361 403
North Dakota	5	9	16	25	32	25	26	25
Ohio	268	382	697	861	1,017	613	535	520
Oklahoma	40	73	134	186	315	231	221	208
Oregon Pennsylvania	58 190	80 373	142 547	168 661	254 1,006	198 764	190 704	198 656
Puerto Rico	366	828	786	894	1,000	1,166	1,190	1,226
Rhode Island	19	31	35	42	82	57	61	59
South Carolina	126	181	194	240	297	264	251	249
South Dakota	8	18	26	35	40	37	37	37
Tennessee	126	282	280	372	554	437	425	415
Texas	319	514	701	1,429	2,246	1,425	1,255	1,215
Utah Vermont	13 10	22 18	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\ 20 \end{array}$	71 22	90 46	75 34	73 34	68 32
Virgin Islands	9	10	23	18	28	22	22	21
	70	158	189	247	450	307	282	263
Washington	71	90	140	229	417	308	260	241
West Virginia	57	87	159	192	253	224	208	185
Wisconsin Wyoming	33 3	68 6	148 15	180 21	$\frac{220}{28}$	130 21	124 19	129 19
United States	\$4,798		\$11,530		\$23,859	\$18,055	\$16,945	
	φ 4 ,/90	\$8,721	φ11,330	\$15,081	\$23,839	\$10,033	\$10,945	\$16,211

Table FSP 4. Value of Food Stamps Issued by State, Selected Fiscal Years 1975 – 2000 [Millions of dollars]

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, unpublished data from the Food Stamp National Data Bank.

				[In th	ousands]					
									Percent	Change
	1977	1981	1985	1989	1994	1996	1998	2000	1989-94	1994-00
Alabama	316	605	588	436	545	509	427	396	25	-27
Alaska Arizona	$\begin{array}{c} 11\\140\end{array}$	32 210	$\frac{22}{206}$	26 264	46 512	46 427	42 296	38 259	76 94	-18 -49
Arkansas	213	305	253	227	283	274	256	247	24	-13
California	1,345	1,605	1,615	1,776	3,155	3,143	2,259	1,832	78	-42
Colorado Connecticut	147 178	175 175	170 145	211 114	268 223	244 223	191 196	156 165	27 96	-42 -26
Delaware	26	56	40	30	59	58	46	32	99	-46
Dist. of Columbia Florida	98 728	101 957	72 630	58 668	91 1,474	93 1,371	85 991	81 882	55 121	-11 -40
Georgia	459	654	567	485	830	793	632	559	71	-33
Guam Hawaii	22 108	25 104	20 99	13 78	15 115	18 130	25 122	22 118	21 47	$\frac{46}{3}$
Idaho	33	64	59 59	61	82	80	62	58	47 34	-29
Illinois	922	984	1,110	990	1,189	1,105	923	779	20	-34
Indiana Iowa	196 108	405 163	406 203	285 168	518 196	390 177	313 141	300 123	82 16	-42 -37
Kansas	62	103	119	128	190	172	1119	123	50	-39
Kentucky Louisiana	394 425	519 574	560 644	447 725	522 756	486 670	412 537	403 500	17 4	-23 -34
Maine	101	140	114	84	136	131	115	102	61	-25
Maryland	255	346	287	249	390	375	323	219	57	-44
Massachusetts Michigan	579 635	437 942	337 985	314 874	442 1,031	374 935	293 772	232 603	40 18	-48 -42
Minnesota	158	202	228	245	318	295	220	196	30	-38
Mississippi Missouri	333 221	514 378	495 362	493 404	511 593	457 554	329 411	276 423	4 47	-46 -29
Montana	221	47	58	56	71	71	62	423 59	28	-17
Nebraska Nevada	40 18	75 37	94 32	92 41	111 97	102 97	95 72	82 61	20 134	-26 -37
New Hampshire	44	54	28	22	62	53	40	36	182	-41
New Jersey	493	608	464	353	545	540	425	345	54	-37
New Mexico New York	118 1,646	183 1,851	157 1,834	151 1,463	$244 \\ 2,154$	235 2,099	$175 \\ 1,627$	169 1,439	62 47	-31 -33
North Carolina	428	605	474	390	630	631	528	488	61	-22
North Dakota Ohio	15	29	33	39	45	40	34	32	17	-30 -51
Oklahoma	803 158	976 206	1,133 263	$1,068 \\ 261$	1,245 376	$1,045 \\ 354$	734 288	610 253	17 44	-31 -33
Oregon	153 843	232 1,071	228 1,032	213	286 1,208	288 1,124	238 907	234 777	34 32	-18 -36
Pennsylvania Puerto Rico	843 1,472	1,071	1,032	916 1,460	1,208	1,124	907 1,181	1,109	-3	-30
Rhode Island	79	88	69	57	94	91	72	74	65	-21
South Carolina South Dakota	280	443	373	272	385	358	333	295	42	-23 -20
Tennessee	26 392	46 677	48 518	50 500	53 735	49 638	45 538	43 496	6 47	-32
Texas	823	1,226	1,263	1,634	2,726	2,372	1,636	1,333	67	-51
Utah Vermont	36 46	65 48	75 44	95 34	128 65	110 56	92 46	82 41	34 90	-36 -37
Virgin Islands	25	34	32	16	20	31	17	16	23	-21
Virginia	240	432	360	333	547	538	397	336	65	-39
Washington West Virginia	212 199	271 252	281 278	321 259	468 321	478 300	364 269	295 227	46 24	-37 -29
Wisconsin	175	269	363	291	330	283	193	193	13	-41
Wyoming	9	15	27	27	34	33	25	22	25	-34
United States	17,014	22,430	21,379	20,266	28,879	26,872	20,970	18,267	42	-33

Table FSP 5. Average Number of Food Stamp Recipients by State, Selected Fiscal Years 1977 – 2000 [In thousands]

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, unpublished data from the National Data Bank.

				[In pe	rcent]					
									Percent	U
	1977	1981	1985	1989	1994	1996	1998	2000	1989-94	1994-00
Alabama	8.4	15.4	14.8	10.8	12.9	11.9	9.8	8.9	19	-31
Alaska	2.7	7.7	4.1	4.8	7.6	7.6	6.9	6.0	60 69	-22 -59
Arizona Arkansas	5.8 9.7	7.5 13.3	$\begin{array}{c} 6.5\\ 10.9 \end{array}$	7.3 9.7	12.3 11.5	9.6 10.9	6.3 10.1	5.0 9.2	09 19	-39 -20
California	6.0	6.6	6.1	6.1	10.1	9.9	6.9	5.4	66	-47
Colorado	5.5	5.9	5.3	6.5	7.3	6.4	4.8	3.6	14	-51
Connecticut Delaware	5.8 4.5	5.6 9.3	4.5 6.5	3.5 4.5	6.8 8.4	$\begin{array}{c} 6.8\\ 8.0\end{array}$	6.0 6.1	4.8 4.1	97 85	-29 -51
Dist. of Columbia	4.5	9.5 15.9	0.3 11.4	4.3 9.4	8.4 16.0	17.2	16.4	4.1	83 71	-12
Florida	8.2	9.4	5.5	5.3	10.6	9.5	6.6	5.5	100	-48
Georgia	8.8	11.7	9.5	7.6	11.8	10.8	8.3	6.8	56	-42
Hawaii Idaho	11.8 3.8	10.6 6.7	9.5 5.9	7.1 6.1	9.8 7.2	11.0 6.7	10.3 5.1	9.7 4.5	37 17	-0 -38
Illinois	5.8 8.1	8.6	5.9 9.7	8.7	10.1	9.3	7.6	4.3 6.3	16	-38
Indiana	3.6	7.4	7.4	5.2	9.0	6.7	5.3	4.9	75	-45
17	3.7	5.6	7.2	6.1	6.9	6.2	4.9	4.2	14	-39
Kansas Kentucky	$2.7 \\ 11.0$	4.5 14.2	4.9 15.2	5.2 12.1	7.5 13.7	6.6 12.3	4.5 10.5	4.3 10.0	44 13	-42 -27
Louisiana	10.6	14.2	13.2	12.1	13.7	12.5	10.3	10.0	13	-27 -36
Maine	9.2	12.4	9.8	6.9	11.0	10.6	9.2	8.0	59	-27
Maryland	6.1	8.1	6.5	5.3	7.8	7.4	6.3	4.1	49	-47
Massachusetts	10.1	7.6	5.7	5.2	7.3	6.1	4.8	3.6	40	-50
Michigan Minnesota	6.9 4.0	10.2 4.9	10.8 5.5	9.4 5.7	10.8 7.0	9.6 6.3	7.9 4.6	$6.1 \\ 4.0$	14 23	-44 -43
Mississippi	13.5	20.3	19.1	19.1	19.2	16.9	12.0	9.7	0	-49
Missouri	4.5	7.7	7.2	7.9	11.2	10.3	7.6	7.6	42	-33
Montana Nebraska	$3.6 \\ 2.6$	5.9 4.7	7.1 5.9	7.0 5.9	8.3 6.8	8.1 6.2	7.1 5.7	$\begin{array}{c} 6.6 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$	20 17	-21 -30
Nevada	2.0	4.7	3.4	3.6	0.8 6.6	6.0	4.1	4.8 3.0	83	-55
New Hampshire	5.1	5.8	2.8	2.0	5.4	4.6	3.3	2.9	174	-46
New Jersey	6.7	8.2	6.1	4.6	6.9	6.8	5.2	4.1	51	-41
New Mexico	9.7	13.7	10.9	10.0	14.7	13.8	10.1	9.3	47	-37
New York North Carolina	9.2 7.5	10.5 10.2	10.3 7.6	8.1 5.9	11.9 8.9	11.6 8.6	9.0 7.0	7.6 6.0	46 50	-36 -32
North Dakota	2.4	4.4	4.9	6.0	7.1	6.2	5.3	5.0	19	-30
Ohio	7.5	9.1	10.6	9.9	11.2	9.4	6.5	5.4	14	-52
Oklahoma Oregon	5.5 6.3	6.7 8.7	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0\\ 8.5\end{array}$	8.3 7.6	11.6 9.3	$\begin{array}{c} 10.7 \\ 9.0 \end{array}$	8.6 7.3	7.3 6.8	40 21	-37 -26
Pennsvlvania	7.1	9.0	8.8	7.0	10.0	9.3	7.6	6.3	30	-37
Rhode Island	8.3	9.3	7.2	5.7	9.4	9.2	7.3	7.1	66	-25
South Carolina	9.4	13.9	11.3	7.9	10.5	9.6	8.7	7.3	34	-30
South Dakota Tennessee	3.8 8.9	6.6 14.6	6.9 11.0	7.2 10.3	7.3 14.2	6.6 12.0	6.2 9.9	5.7 8.7	2 38	-23 -39
Texas	6.2	8.3	7.8	9.7	14.8	12.5	8.3	6.4	53	-57
Utah	2.7	4.3	4.6	5.6	6.6	5.4	4.4	3.7	19	-45
Vermont	9.4	9.4 7.0	8.2	6.1	11.1	9.6 8.1	7.7	6.7	83 54	-40
Virginia Washington	4.6 5.6	7.9 6.4	6.3 6.4	5.4 6.8	8.4 8.8	8.1 8.6	5.8 6.4	4.7 5.0	54 30	-43 -43
West Virginia	10.4	12.9	14.6	14.3	17.7	16.5	14.9	12.6	23	-29
Wisconsin	3.8	5.7	7.6	6.0	6.5	5.5	3.7	3.6	8	-44
Wyoming	2.1	3.0	5.4	6.0	7.2	6.9	5.3	4.5	20	-37
United States	7.1	9.0	8.3	7.6	10.5	9.6	7.3	6.1	39	-42

Table FSP 6. Food Stamp Recipiency Rates by State, Selected Fiscal Years 1977 – 2000

Note: Recipiency rate refers to the average monthly number of food stamp recipients in each state during the particular fiscal year expressed as a percent of the total resident population as of July 1 of that year. The numerator is from Table A-18. Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, unpublished data from the National Data Bank and U.S. Bureau of the Census (resident population by state available online at http://www.census.gov/population/estimates/state/).